arXiv:1607.00543v1 [math-ph] 2 Jul 2016

Quantization of the dynamics of a particle on a double cone by preserving Noether symmetries

G. Gubbiotti[1] M. C. Nucci[2]

[1]Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, & INFN Sezione di Roma Tre, 00146 Roma, Italy

[2]Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Perugia, & INFN Sezione di Perugia, 06123 Perugia, Italy

Abstract

The classical quantization of the motion of a free particle and that of an harmonic oscillator on a double cone are achieved by a quantization scheme [M. C. Nucci, Theor. Math. Phys. 168 (2011) 994], that preserves the Noether point symmetries of the underlying Lagrangian in order to construct the Schrödinger equation. The result is different from that given in [K. Kowalski, J. Rembieliński, Ann. Phys. 329 (2013) 146]. A comparison of the different outcomes is provided.

Keywords: Lie and Noether symmetries; motion of a particle on a double cone; classical quantization.

1 Introduction

In [1] it was inferred that Lie symmetries should be preserved if a consistent quantization is desired. In [2] [ex. 18, p. 433] an alternative Hamiltonian for the simple harmonic oscillator was presented. It is obtained by applying a nonlinear canonical transformation to the classical Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator. That alternative Hamiltonian was used in [3] to demonstrate what nonsense the usual quantization schemes[1] produce. In [7] a quantization scheme that preserves the Noether symmetries was proposed and applied to Goldstein's example in order to derive the correct Schrödinger equation. In [8] the same quantization scheme was applied in order to quantize the second-order Riccati equation, while in [9] the quantization of the dynamics of a charged particle in a uniform magnetic field in the plane and Calogero's goldfish system were achieved. In [10] the same method

[1]Such as normal-ordering [4, 5] and Weyl quantization [6].

yielded the Schrödinger equation of an equation related to a Calogero's goldfish, and in [11] that of two nonlinear equations somewhat related to the Riemann problem [12]. In [13], and [14] it was shown that the preservation of the Noether symmetries straightforwardly yields the Schrödinger equation of a Liénard I nonlinear oscillator in the momentum space [15], and that of a family of Liénard II nonlinear oscillators [16], respectively.

If a system of second-order equations is considered, i.e.

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{F}(t, \mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1}$$

that comes from a variational principle with a Lagrangian of first order, then the method that was first proposed in [7] consists of the following steps:

Step I. Find the Lie symmetries of the Lagrange equations

$$\Upsilon = W(t, \mathbf{x})\partial_t + \sum_{k=1}^{N} W_k(t, \mathbf{x})\partial_{x_k}$$

Step II. Among them find the Noether symmetries

$$\Gamma = V(t, \mathbf{x})\partial_t + \sum_{k=1}^{N} V_k(t, \mathbf{x})\partial_{x_k}$$

This may require searching for the Lagrangian yielding the maximum possible number of Noether symmetries [17, 18, 19, 20].

Step III. Construct the Schrödinger equation[2] admitting these Noether symmetries as Lie symmetries, namely

$$2\mathrm{i}\Psi_t + \sum_{k,j=1}^{N} f_{kj}(\mathbf{x})\Psi_{x_j x_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} h_k(\mathbf{x})\Psi_{x_k} + f_0(\mathbf{x})\Psi = 0 \tag{2}$$

with Lie symmetries

$$\Omega = V(t, \mathbf{x})\partial_t + \sum_{k=1}^{N} V_k(t, \mathbf{x})\partial_{x_k} + G(t, \mathbf{x}, \Psi)\partial_\Psi$$

without adding any other symmetries apart from the two symmetries that are present in any linear homogeneous partial differential equation[3], namely

$$\Psi\partial_\Psi, \qquad \alpha(t, \mathbf{x})\partial_\Psi,$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(t, \mathbf{x})$ is any solution of the Schrödinger equation (2).

[2] We assume $\hbar = 1$ without loss of generality.

[3] In the following we will refer to those two symmetries as the homogeneity and linearity symmetries.

If the system (1) is linearizable by a point transformation, and it possesses the maximal number of admissible Lie point symmetries, namely N^2+4N+3, then in [21, 22] it was proven that the maximal-dimension Lie symmetry algebra of a system of N equations of second order is isomorphic to $sl(N+2,\mathbb{R})$, and that the corresponding Noether symmetries generate a $(N^2+3N+6)/2$-dimensional Lie algebra g^V whose structure (Levi-Malćev decomposition and realization by means of a matrix algebra) was determined. It was also proven that the corresponding linear system is

$$\mathbf{y}''(s)+2A_1(s)\cdot\mathbf{y}'(s)+A_0(s)\cdot\mathbf{y}(s)+\mathbf{b}(s)=0, \tag{3}$$

with the condition

$$A_0(s)=A_1'(s)+A_1(s)^2+a(s)\mathbb{1}, \tag{4}$$

where A_0, A_1 are $N\times N$ matrices, and a is a scalar function.

Consequently if system (1) admits $sl(N+2,\mathbb{R})$ as Lie symmetry algebra then in [13] we reformulated the algorithm that yields the Schrödinger equation as follows:

Step 1. Find the linearizing transformation which does not change the time, as prescribed in non-relativistic quantum mechanics.

Step 2. Derive the Lagrangian by applying the linearizing transformation to the standard Lagrangian of the corresponding linear system (3), namely the one that admits the maximum number of Noether symmetries[4].

Step 3. Apply the linearizing transformation to the Schrödinger equation of the corresponding classical linear problem. This yields the Schrödinger equation corresponding to system (1).

This quantization is consistent with the classical properties of the system, namely the Lie symmetries of the obtained Schrödinger equation correspond to the Noether symmetries admitted by the Lagrangian of system (1).

In [23] the problem of the quantization of the dynamics of a particle constrained on a double cone was considered.
A double cone of opening angle 2α with $\alpha\in(0,\pi/2)$ is given by the cartesian equation:

$$x^2+y^2-\cot^2(\alpha)z^2=0. \tag{5}$$

[4]In [22] it was shown that any diffeomorphism between two systems of second-order differential equations takes Noether symmetries into Noether symmetries, and therefore the Lagrangian is unique up to a diffeomorphism.

A regular parametrization (except at the vertex $(0,0,0)$) for this surface is given by:

$$x = r\sin(\alpha)\cos(\phi), \tag{6a}$$
$$y = r\sin(\alpha)\sin(\phi), \tag{6b}$$
$$z = r\cos(\alpha) \tag{6c}$$

with $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$.
Consequently a particle of mass m constrained on a cone has the natural Lagrangian:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\dot{r}^2 + \sin^2(\alpha)r^2\dot{\phi}^2\right) - V(r,\phi), \tag{7}$$

where $V(r,\phi)$ is the potential energy.
Remark 1: Without loss of generality we assume $m = 1$. Also, since $\sin(\alpha)$ is a constant, we introduce another constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that $\sin(\alpha) = k$ in order to have neater expressions. ■

In [23] the authors addressed the quantization of two particular cases of the Lagrangian (7), namely the free particle, with Lagrangian[5]:

$$L_{\mathrm{f}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{r}^2 + k^2r^2\dot{\phi}^2\right), \tag{8}$$

and the radial harmonic oscillator, with Lagrangian[6]:

$$L_{\mathrm{ho}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{r}^2 + k^2r^2\dot{\phi}^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2r^2. \tag{9}$$

The radial harmonic oscillator was also considered in [24] as the classical motion of a particle on a cone under the influence of a central potential.

In this paper we apply the quantization algorithm that preserves the Noether symmetries to those two cases, and also determine the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of the obtained Schrödinger equations. We compare the results of our quantization method with those obtained in [23], and explain the differences that are due to symmetry breaking.

2 Quantization of a free particle on the cone

The Lagrangian equations corresponding to the Lagrangian (8) are:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{r} &= k^2r\dot{\phi}^2, \\ \ddot{\phi} &= -2\dfrac{\dot{r}\dot{\phi}}{r}. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

[5] $V(r,\phi) = 0$.
[6] $V(r,\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2r^2$.

Using the REDUCE programs [25] we find that this system admits a fifteen-dimensional Lie point symmetry algebra, isomorphic to $\mathrm{sl}(4,\mathbb{R})$, generated by the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_1 &= \cos(k\phi)r(t\partial_t + r\partial_r),\\
\Gamma_2 &= \cos(k\phi)r\partial_t,\\
\Gamma_3 &= \sin(k\phi)r(t\partial_t + r\partial_r),\\
\Gamma_4 &= \sin(k\phi)r\partial_t,\\
\Gamma_5 &= t(t\partial_t + r\partial_r),\\
\Gamma_6 &= \frac{1}{2}r\partial_r + t\partial_t,\\
\Gamma_7 &= \partial_t,\\
\Gamma_8 &= t\left(\cos(k\phi)\partial_r - \frac{1}{kr}\sin(k\phi)\partial_\phi\right),\\
\Gamma_9 &= \cos(k\phi)\partial_r - \frac{1}{kr}\sin(k\phi)\partial_\phi,\\
\Gamma_{10} &= t\left(\sin(k\phi)\partial_r + \frac{1}{kr}\cos(k\phi)\partial_\phi\right),\\
\Gamma_{11} &= \sin(k\phi)\partial_r + \frac{1}{kr}\cos(k\phi)\partial_\phi,\\
\Gamma_{12} &= r\partial_r,\\
\Gamma_{13} &= kr\cos(2k\phi)\partial_r - \sin(2k\phi)\partial_\phi,\\
\Gamma_{14} &= kr\sin(2k\phi)\partial_r + \cos(2k\phi)\partial_\phi,\\
\Gamma_{15} &= \partial_\phi.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Consequently system (10) is linearizable [21, 22], and in order to quantize it we follow the three Steps 1,2,3 [13] as recalled in the Introduction.

Step 1. We have to find a linearizing transformation which does not alter the time t. In [26] it was determined that the linearizing transformation can be found by means of a four-dimensional subalgebra of type $A_{4,5}^{1,1} = \langle X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\rangle$ in the Mubarakzyanov classification [27, 28] with commutation relations:

$$[X_i, X_j] = 0, \quad [X_i, X_4] = X_i, \quad (i,j = 1,2,3) \tag{12}$$

such that $1 \leq \mathrm{rank}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4] \leq 3$, and whose canonical form is:

$$\begin{gathered}
X_1 = \partial_\tau, \quad X_2 = \partial_u, \quad X_3 = \partial_v,\\
X_4 = \tau\partial_\tau + u\partial_u + v\partial_v.
\end{gathered} \tag{13}$$

We found that the operators Γ_7, Γ_9, Γ_{11}, Γ_{16}, with $\Gamma_{16} = \Gamma_6 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{12}$, generate such a subalgebra. Consequently the following transformation:

$$\tau = t, \quad u = r\cos(k\phi), \quad v = r\sin(k\phi). \tag{14}$$

takes system (10) into the following linear system[7]:

$$\ddot{u} = 0, \quad \ddot{v} = 0. \tag{15}$$

Then the general solution of system (10) is:

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \pm\sqrt{(c_1t + c_2)^2 + (c_3t + c_4)^2}, \\ \tan(k\phi) &= \frac{c_1t + c_2}{c_3t + c_4}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with $c_i\,(i = 1, 4)$ arbitrary constants.

Step 2. The Lagrangian (8) admits eight Noether symmetries, i.e.: Γ_5, Γ_6, Γ_7, Γ_8, Γ_9, Γ_{10}, Γ_{11}, Γ_{15}.

Step 3. The Schrödinger equation of the two-dimensional free particle in the variables (u, v) is

$$2\mathrm{i}\psi_t + \psi_{uu} + \psi_{vv} = 0, \tag{17}$$

with $\psi = \psi(t, u, v)$. If we apply the transformation (14), then we obtain that the Schrödinger equation corresponding to system (10) is[8]

$$2\mathrm{i}\psi_t + \psi_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}\psi_r + \frac{1}{k^2r^2}\psi_{\phi\phi} = 0. \tag{18}$$

Using the `REDUCE` programs [25] we find that its Lie point symmetries are generated by the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1 &= \Gamma_{15}, \\ \Lambda_2 &= \Gamma_8 + \mathrm{i}k^2r\cos(k\phi)\psi\partial_\psi, \\ \Lambda_3 &= \Gamma_9, \end{aligned}$$

[7] Namely the Lagrangian equations of a two-dimensional free particle.

[8] Introducing $\hbar$ into (18), i.e.

$$2\mathrm{i}\hbar\psi_t + \hbar^2\left(\psi_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}\psi_r + \frac{1}{k^2r^2}\psi_{\phi\phi}\right) = 0,$$

and performing the classical limit [29] yields the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for system (10).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_4 &= \Gamma_{10} + \mathrm{i}k^2 r \sin(k\phi)\psi\partial_\psi, \\
\Lambda_5 &= \Gamma_{11}, \\
\Lambda_6 &= \Gamma_5 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{i}r^2 - 2t\right)\psi\partial_\psi, \\
\Lambda_7 &= \Gamma_6, \\
\Lambda_8 &= \Gamma_7, \\
\Lambda_9 &= \psi\partial_\psi, \quad \Lambda_\Psi = \Psi\partial_\psi,
\end{aligned} \tag{19}
$$

where $\Psi(t, r, \phi)$ is any solution of equation (18).

In order to determine the radial Schrödinger equation, we consider the two-dimensional abelian Lie subalgebra generated by the operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_\varepsilon &= \Lambda_8 - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon\Lambda_9 = \partial_t - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon\psi\partial_\psi, \\
\Lambda_\beta &= \Lambda_1 - \mathrm{i}p\Lambda_9 = \partial_\phi - \mathrm{i}p\psi\partial_\psi,
\end{aligned} \tag{20}
$$

with ε and p are arbitrary constants. Then solving the corresponding invariant surface condition [30] yields the following invariant solution

$$
\psi(t, r, \phi) = R(r)e^{-\mathrm{i}(\varepsilon t + p\phi)}, \tag{21}
$$

that replaced into (18) gives rise to the following radial Schrödinger equation:

$$
R'' + \frac{1}{r}R' + \left(2\varepsilon - \frac{p^2}{k^2 r^2}\right)R = 0, \tag{22}
$$

where prime denotes derivative by r.
After imposing p to be an integer since the invariant solution (21) must be periodic of period 2π, we obtain that the only bounded solution of equation (18) is given in terms of the Bessel function of the first kind J_μ, i.e.:

$$
\psi_{p,\varepsilon} = J_{\frac{|p|}{k}}\left(\sqrt{2\varepsilon}\,|r|\right)e^{-\mathrm{i}(\varepsilon t + p\phi)}, \tag{23}
$$

with the additional condition $\varepsilon > 0$.

3 Radial harmonic oscillator on the cone

The Lagrangian equations corresponding to the Lagrangian (9) are:

$$
\left\{
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{r} &= k^2 r\dot{\phi}^2 - \omega^2 r, \\
\ddot{\phi} &= -2\frac{\dot{r}\dot{\phi}}{r}.
\end{aligned}
\right. \tag{24}
$$

Using the REDUCE programs [25] we find that this system admits a fifteen-dimensional Lie point symmetry algebra, isomorphic to $\mathrm{sl}(4,\mathbb{R})$, generated by the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_1 &= \cos(k\phi)r\left(\cos(\omega t)\partial_t - \omega r\sin(\omega t)\partial_r\right),\\
\Xi_2 &= \cos(k\phi)r\left(\sin(\omega t)\partial_t + \omega r\cos(\omega t)\partial_r\right),\\
\Xi_3 &= \sin(k\phi)r\left(\cos(\omega t)\partial_t - \omega r\sin(\omega t)\partial_r\right),\\
\Xi_4 &= \sin(k\phi)r\left(\sin(\omega t)\partial_t + \omega r\cos(\omega t)\partial_r\right),\\
\Xi_5 &= \cos(2k\phi)r\partial_r - \frac{1}{k}\sin(2k\phi)\partial_\phi,\\
\Xi_6 &= \sin(2k\phi)r\partial_r + \frac{1}{k}\cos(2k\phi)\partial_\phi,\\
\Xi_7 &= r\partial_r,\\
\Xi_8 &= \partial_\phi,\\
\Xi_9 &= \partial_t,\\
\Xi_{10} &= \cos(2\omega t)\partial_t - \omega\sin(2\omega t)r\partial_r,\\
\Xi_{11} &= \sin(2\omega t)\partial_t + \omega\cos(2\omega t)r\partial_r,\\
\Xi_{12} &= \cos(\omega t)\left(\cos(k\phi)\partial_r - \frac{1}{kr}\sin(k\phi)\partial_\phi\right),\\
\Xi_{13} &= \sin(\omega t)\left(\cos(k\phi)\partial_r - \frac{1}{kr}\sin(k\phi)\partial_\phi\right),\\
\Xi_{14} &= \cos(\omega t)\left(\sin(k\phi)\partial_r + \frac{1}{kr}\cos(k\phi)\partial_\phi\right),\\
\Xi_{15} &= \sin(\omega t)\left(\sin(k\phi)\partial_r + \frac{1}{kr}\cos(k\phi)\partial_\phi\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Consequently system (24) is linearizable [21, 22], and in order to quantize it we follow the three Steps 1,2,3 [13] as recalled in the Introduction.

Step 1. The transformation (14) applied to (24) yields:

$$\ddot{u} + \omega^2 u = 0, \quad \ddot{v} + \omega^2 v = 0, \tag{26}$$

namely the equations of a two-dimensional linear harmonic oscillator. Therefore, the general solution of (24) is:

$$\begin{aligned}
r &= \pm\sqrt{\Big(c_1\cos(\omega t) + c_2\sin(\omega t)\Big)^2 + \Big(c_3\cos(\omega t) + c_4\sin(\omega t)\Big)^2},\\
\tan(k\phi) &= \frac{c_1\cos(\omega t) + c_2\sin(\omega t)}{c_3\cos(\omega t) + c_4\sin(\omega t)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Step 2. The Lagrangian (9) admits eight Noether symmetries, namely Ξ_i with $i = 8, \ldots, 15$ in (25).

Step 3. The Schrödinger equation for a two-dimensional linear harmonic oscillator in the variables (u, v) and wave function ψ is:

$$2\mathrm{i}\psi_t + \psi_{uu} + \psi_{vv} - \omega^2(u^2 + v^2)\psi = 0. \tag{28}$$

If we apply the transformation (14), then the Schrödinger equation corresponding to system (24) is[9]:

$$2\mathrm{i}\psi_t + \psi_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}\psi_r + \frac{1}{k^2 r^2}\psi_{\phi\phi} - \omega^2 r^2\psi = 0. \tag{29}$$

Using the `REDUCE` programs [25] we find that its Lie point symmetries are generated by the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1 &= \Xi_8, \\
\Omega_2 &= \Xi_{10} + \omega\left(\sin(2\omega t) - 2\mathrm{i}\cos(2\omega t)\omega r^2\right)\psi\partial_\psi, \\
\Omega_3 &= \Xi_{11} - \omega\left(\cos(2\omega t) + 2\mathrm{i}\sin(2\omega t)\omega r^2\right)\psi\partial_\psi, \\
\Omega_4 &= \Xi_9, \\
\Omega_5 &= \Xi_{14} - \mathrm{i}\omega r\sin(\omega t)\sin(k\phi)\psi\partial_\psi, \\
\Omega_6 &= \Xi_{15} + \mathrm{i}\omega r\cos(\omega t)\cos(k\phi)\psi\partial_\psi, \\
\Omega_7 &= \Xi_{12} - \mathrm{i}\omega r\sin(\omega t)\cos(k\phi)\psi\partial_\psi, \\
\Omega_8 &= \Xi_{13} + \mathrm{i}\omega r\cos(\omega t)\cos(k\phi)\psi\partial_\psi, \\
\Omega_9 &= \psi\partial_\psi, \quad \Omega_\Psi = \Psi\partial_\psi,
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $\Psi = \Psi(t, r, \phi)$ is any solution of (29).

Equation (29) is the same Schrödinger equation that was obtained in [31, 32, 33] in the case that $r \in (0, \infty)$. Therefore the eigenfunctions of equation (29) are given in terms of the associated Laguerre polynomials L_n^μ, i.e.:

$$\psi_{n,p} = e^{-\mathrm{i}E_n t + \mathrm{i}p\phi}|r|^{|p|/k}e^{-(1/2)\omega r^2}L_n^{|p|/k}(\omega r^2), \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{31}$$

with eigenvalues

$$E_n = \omega\left(2n + \frac{|p|}{k} + 1\right). \tag{32}$$

[9]Introducing $\hbar$ into (29), i.e.

$$2\mathrm{i}\hbar\psi_t + \hbar^2\left(\psi_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}\psi_r + \frac{1}{k^2 r^2}\psi_{\phi\phi}\right) - \omega^2 r^2\psi = 0,$$

and performing the classical limit [29] yields the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for system (24).

4 Comparison of the different outcomes

The quantization of the harmonic oscillator on a cone with one nappe has been studied in several papers, e.g. [31, 32, 33].

Our Schrödinger equation (29) is exactly the same that all the above authors derived by means of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, namely Noether symmetries of the classical Lagrangian systems are preserved as we shown.

In [23], the authors derived a different Schrödinger equation for both the free particle and the harmonic oscillator on the double cone. Instead of using the Laplace-Beltrami operator they look for self-adjoint operators of the type

$$\hat{p}_r = -\mathrm{i}\left(\partial_r + F(r)\right), \tag{33}$$

with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(r,\phi)g(r,\phi)\,|r|\,\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}\phi, \tag{34}$$

on the space of square integrable functions $f(r,\phi), g(r,\phi)$ on the cone. This yields that the self-adjoint operator is:

$$\hat{p}_r = -\mathrm{i}\left(\partial_r + \frac{1}{2r}\right), \tag{35}$$

and consequently the Schrödinger equation for the free particle is derived to be:

$$2\mathrm{i}\psi_t + \psi_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}\psi_r + \frac{1}{4k^2r^2}\psi_{\phi\phi} - \frac{1}{4r^2}\psi = 0, \tag{36}$$

while the Schrödinger equation for the harmonic oscillator becomes:

$$2\mathrm{i}\psi_t + \psi_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}\psi_r + \frac{1}{4k^2r^2}\psi_{\phi\phi} - \left(\frac{1}{4r^2} + \omega^2r^2\right)\psi = 0. \tag{37}$$

Both equations (36) and (37) do not preserve the Noether symmetries of the free particle and the harmonic oscillator on the cone, respectively. Indeed the Lie symmetries of equation (36) are:

$$\Upsilon_1 = \Gamma_{15}, \tag{38}$$

$$\Upsilon_2 = \Gamma_5 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{i}r^2 - 2t\right)\psi\partial_\psi, \tag{39}$$

$$\Upsilon_3 = \Gamma_6, \tag{40}$$

$$\Upsilon_4 = \Gamma_7, \tag{41}$$

$$\Upsilon_5 = \psi\partial_\psi, \quad \Upsilon_\Psi = \Psi\partial_\psi, \tag{42}$$

with $\Psi = \Psi(t, r, \phi)$ any solution of (36), while those of equation (37) are:

$$\Pi_1 = \Xi_8, \tag{43}$$
$$\Pi_2 = \Xi_{11} - \omega(\cos(2\omega t) + 2\mathrm{i}\sin(2\omega t)\omega r^2)\psi\partial_\psi, \tag{44}$$
$$\Pi_3 = \Xi_{10} + \omega(\sin(2\omega t) - 2\mathrm{i}\cos(2\omega t)\omega r^2)\psi\partial_\psi, \tag{45}$$
$$\Pi_4 = \Xi_9, \tag{46}$$
$$\Pi_5 = \psi\partial_\psi, \quad \Pi_\Psi = \Psi\partial_\psi, \tag{47}$$

with $\Psi = \Psi(t, r, \phi)$ any solution of (37). Indeed, the additional term $-\psi/4r^2$ in both equations (36) and (37) breaks the symmetries, i.e. four out of eight symmetries are not preserved. Therefore, our eigenfunctions are different from those obtained in [23] although the boundary conditions are the same. Moreover, in the case of the harmonic oscillator the eigenvalues that were derived in [23] are:

$$E_n = \omega\left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + \frac{4p^2}{k^2}} + 1\right), \tag{48}$$

instead of those that we derived, i.e. (32).

Finally, we would like to underline that the eigenvalues (32) that we have obtained coincide with those derived by other authors, e.g. [31, 32, 33], if one nappe only is considered.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have derived the Schrödinger equation for both the free particle and the harmonic oscillator on a double cone by requiring the preservation of the Noether symmetries of the classical problem. Indeed the Noether symmetries admitted by the Lagrangian (8) are the Lie symmetries of the Schrödinger equation (18), and the Noether symmetries admitted by the Lagrangian (9) are the Lie symmetries of the Schrödinger equation (29). In particular the latter coincides with the Schrödinger equation derived by other authors, e.g. [31, 32, 33] in the case of a single cone. On the other hand, the Schrödinger equations for the same problems that were obtained in [23] do not preserve the Noether symmetries of the classical problem and therefore yield results quite different from the ones we have derived here. Further insight is needed especially from the experimentalists as stated in [34].

Acknowledgements

GG is supported by INFN IS-CSN4 *Mathematical Methods of Nonlinear Physics.*
MCN acknowledges the support of the Italian Ministry of University and Scientific Re-

search through PRIN 2010-2011, Prot. 2010JJ4KPA_004, *Geometric and analytic theory of Hamiltonian systems in finite and infinite dimensions.*

References

References

[1] M.C. Nucci, P.G.L. Leach, K. Andriopoulos, Lie symmetries, quantisation and c-isochronous nonlinear oscillators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 319 (2006) 357–368.

[2] H. Goldstein, Classical Mechanics, 2nd Edition, Addison-Wesley, Reading (MA), 1980.

[3] M.C. Nucci, P.G.L. Leach, Lie groups and quantum mechanics, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 406 (2013) 219–228.

[4] D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, Relativistic Quantum Mechanics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1964.

[5] W.H. Louisell, Quantum Statistical Properties of Radiation, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1990.

[6] H. Weyl, Quantenmechanik und gruppentheorie, Zeitschrift für Physik 46 (1927) 1–46.

[7] M.C. Nucci, Quantization of classical mechanics: shall we Lie?, Theor. Math. Phys. 168 (2011) 997–1004.

[8] M.C. Nucci, From Lagrangian to Quantum Mechanics with Symmetries, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 380 (2012) 012008.

[9] M.C. Nucci, Quantizing preserving Noether symmetries, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 20 (2013) 451–463 .

[10] M.C. Nucci, Symmetries for thought, Math. Notes Miskolc 14 (2013) 461–474.

[11] M.C. Nucci, Spectral realization of the Riemann zeros by quantizing $H = w(x)(p + \ell_p^2/p)$: the Lie-Noether symmetry approach, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 482 (2014) 012032.

[12] G. Sierra, General covariant xp models and the Riemann zeros, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45 (2012) 055209.

[13] G. Gubbiotti, M.C. Nucci, Noether symmetries and the quantization of a Liénard-type nonlinear oscillator, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 21 (2014) 248–264.

[14] G. Gubbiotti, M.C. Nucci, Quantization of quadratic Liénard-type equations by preserving Noether symmetries, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 422 (2015) 1235–1246.

[15] V. Chithiika Ruby, M. Senthilvelan, M. Lakshmanan, Exact quantization of a PT-symmetric (reversible) Liènard-type nonlinear oscillator, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45 (2012) 382002.

[16] A.G. Choudhury, P. Guha, Quantization of the Liénard II equation and Jacobi's last multiplier, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46 (2013) 165202.

[17] M.C. Nucci, P.G.L. Leach, Lagrangians galore, J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007) 123510 .

[18] M.C. Nucci, P.G.L. Leach, Jacobi last multiplier and Lagrangians for multidimensional linear systems, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008) 073517.

[19] M.C. Nucci, K.M. Tamizhmani, Using an old method of Jacobi to derive Lagrangians: a nonlinear dynamical system with variable coefficients, Nuovo Cimento B 125 (2010) 255–269.

[20] M.C. Nucci, K.M. Tamizhmani, Lagrangians for dissipative nonlinear oscillators: the method of Jacobi Last Multiplier, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 17 (2010) 167–178.

[21] F. González-Gascón, A. González-López, Symmetries of differential equations, J. Math. Phys. 23 (1983) 2006–2021.

[22] A. González-López, Symmetries of linear systems of second-order ordinary differential equations, J. Math. Phys. 29 (1988) 1097–1105.

[23] K. Kowalski, J. Rembieliński, On the dynamics of a particle on a cone, Annals of Physics 329 (2013) 146–157.

[24] Y. Brihaye, P. Kosiński, P. Maślanka, Dynamics on the cone: Closed orbits and superintegrability, Ann. Phys. 344 (2014) 253–262.

[25] M.C. Nucci, Interactive `REDUCE` programs for calculating Lie point, non-classical, Lie-Bäcklund, and approximate symmetries of differential equations: manual and floppy disk, in: N. Ibragimov (Ed.), CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations, Vol. III: New Trends in Theoretical Developments and Computational Methods, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996, 415–481.

[26] C. Soh, F. Mahomed, Linearization criteria for a system of second-order ordinary differential equations, Int. J. Non-lin. Mech. 36 (2001) 671–677.

[27] G. Mubarakzyanov, On solvable Lie algebras, Izv. Vys. Ucheb. Zaved. Matematika 32 (1963) 114–123.

[28] G. Mubarakzyanov, Certain theorems on solvable Lie algebras, Izv. Vys. Ucheb. Zaved. Matematika 55 (1966) 95–98.

[29] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, Quantum mechanics. Non-relativistic theory Vol.3. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991.

[30] P.J. Olver, Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[31] C. Furtado, F. Moraes, Harmonic oscillator interacting with conical singularities, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 (2000) 5513–5519.

[32] M.H. Al-Hashimi, W.J. Wiese, Runge-Lenz vector, accidental $SU(2)$ symmetry, and unusual multiplets for motion on a cone, Ann. Phys. 323 (2008) 82–104.

[33] A.J. Makowski, Quantum-classical correspondence for motion on a plane with deficit angle, Ann. Phys. 325 (2010) 1622–1632.

[34] M.D. Lopes, S. Azevedo, F. Moraes, M. Machado, Theoretical study of carbon double cones, Eur. Phys. J. B 88 (2015) 10.